

Trump's Executive Order on Preexisting Conditions Lacks Teeth, Experts Say

Critics believe the order has no “technical content,” and that Trump wants his departments to come up with plan despite no clear guidance.

September 28, 2020 By Kaiser Health News and Jon Greenberg

Protecting people with preexisting medical conditions is an issue that has followed President Donald Trump his entire first term. Now, Trump has signed an executive order that he says locks in coverage regardless of anyone's health history. “Any health care reform legislation that comes to my desk from Congress must protect the preexisting conditions or I won't sign it,” Trump said at a Sept. 24 signing event.

With the executive order, Trump said, “This is affirmed, signed and done, so we can put that to rest.”

Health law and health policy experts say Trump has put nothing to rest.

Here's why.

The core text of the order is brief.

“It has been and will continue to be the policy of the United States to give Americans seeking healthcare more choice, lower costs, and better care and to ensure that Americans with pre-existing conditions can obtain the insurance of their choice at affordable rates.”

Joe Antos with the American Enterprise Institute, a market-oriented think tank, said the order “has no technical content.”

“All it really is, is a statement that he wants one or more of his departments to come up with a plan. And he doesn't give any guidance or the vaguest outline of what that plan should be.”

It takes more than a bill title to actually deliver guaranteed coverage. A Republican measure in the Senate is a good example. It's called the Protect Act, but it has [loopholes](#) that would allow insurance companies to drop coverage of certain expensive diseases from all their policies.

So far, Republican proposals [have not matched](#) what the Affordable Care Act already provides. And University of Pennsylvania law professor Allison Hoffman said Trump's executive order doesn't change that.

"The language itself guarantees nothing near the protections in the Affordable Care Act, and such sweeping protections are only possible by congressional action, not regulation," Hoffman said.

Trump and other Republicans on the campaign trail have faced repeated questioning about what will happen if the U.S. Supreme Court invalidates the Affordable Care Act. The White House is strongly behind a legal case to declare it unconstitutional. Oral arguments before the court are scheduled for [Nov. 10](#).

Indiana University health law professor David Gamage said the executive order is no stopgap should the White House win that argument.

"Were the court to hold the Affordable Care Act unconstitutional, the executive order would still do nothing, because it has no enforcement power," Gamage said.

Larry Levitt, head of health policy at KFF, a widely used source of neutral health care data, [called Trump's order](#) "a pinky promise to protect people with preexisting conditions."

Trump's critics have said the order runs counter to the administration's goal of undoing the Affordable Care Act. But as [Levitt](#) and others point out, there are other ways to guarantee coverage to everyone.

Lanhee Chen at Stanford University's Hoover Institution said high-risk pools remain a popular idea in conservative circles.

"Most conservative analysts, for example, have supported a system of well-funded high-risk pools at the state level to provide protections for the impacted population," Chen said.

High-risk pools have been around for decades. With them, the government, rather than a private insurance company, pays for a person's care. But as with everything in health care, you don't get something for nothing. State high-risk pools in the past lacked enough money to cover the large number of people with needs.

Hoffman said some high-risk pools charged very high premiums, making them unaffordable to many people.

Coverage for preexisting conditions is a persistent issue because so many Americans have them or fear having them in the future.

KFF estimates that [54 million Americans](#) have a preexisting condition that would have led to a denial of coverage in the individual insurance market before the Affordable Care Act took effect.

[Subscribe](#) to KHN's free Morning Briefing.

[This article](#) was originally published on September 28, 2020, by Kaiser Health News. It is republished with permission.

© 2026 Smart + Strong All Rights Reserved.

<http://beta.docker.realhealthmag.com/article/trumps-executive-order-preexisting-conditions-lacks-teeth-experts-say>